1 2 3 4 5 6	Elisabeth C. Frost* Renata O'Donnell* ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP 250 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20001 Phone: (202) 968-4490 Facsimile: (202) 968-4498 efrost@elias.law rodonnell@elias.law	Ben Stafford* ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP 1700 Seventh Ave, Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 656-0176 bstafford@elias.law				
7 8	Roy Herrera (Bar No. 032901) Daniel A. Arellano (Bar. No. 032304)					
9	HERRERA ARELLANO LLP 1001 North Central Avenue, Suite 404					
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	5-thocker com				
11	Telephone: (602) 567-4820 roy@ha-firm.com					
12	daniel@ha-firm.com	100CK				
13	Attorneys for Intervenor-Plaintiffs *Admitted Pro Hac Vice					
14 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
16	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA					
17	Mi Familia Vota, et al.,	Case No. CV-21-01423-DWL				
18	Plaintiffs, and	UNOPPOSED MOTION TO				
19	DSCC and DCCC, Plaintiff-Intervenors,	VOLUNTARILY WITHDRAW AND DISMISS CLAIMS OF PLAINTIFF-				
20	v. Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as	INTERVENORS				
21	Arizona Secretary of State, et al.,					
22	Defendants, and					
23	RNC and NRSC,					
24 25	Defendant-Intervenors.					
26						
27						

28

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff-Intervenors DSCC and DCCC hereby respectfully move to voluntarily withdraw as parties from this case and dismiss their remaining pending claims. This case was initiated by Plaintiffs Mi Familia Vota, Arizona Coalition for Change, Living United for Change in Arizona, and Chispa Arizona (collectively, "Plaintiffs") in August 2021. ECF No. 1. In September 2021, DSCC and DCCC moved to intervene, primarily to protect their interests in other litigation that was then ongoing. Mot. to Intervene, ECF No. 50. This Court granted that motion on October 4, 2021, but limited Plaintiff-Intervenors' participation, allowing them to file briefs only if they "believe[] that an issue affecting [them] has not been briefed." Order Granting Mot. to Intervene, ECF No. 53.

Plaintiff-Intervenors now seek to withdraw from the case and dismiss their remaining claims. There is no procedural rule that expressly addresses how a party may withdraw as a plaintiff in a multi-plaintiff case, but the power to dismiss some—but not all—plaintiffs is undoubtedly within the power of the federal courts. In these circumstances, courts have generally applied the standards set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2), which permits a plaintiff to voluntarily dismiss an action after the opposing party serves an answer "by court order, on terms the court considers proper." See, e.g., North Dakota v. U.S. Env't Prot. Agency, No. 3:15-cv-059, Order Dismissing State of Colorado, New Mexico State Engineer, & New Mexico Environment Dep't as Plaintiffs, ECF No. 280; Loose v. N. Wildwood City, No. 10-6587, 2012 WL 480025, at *1 (D.N.J. Feb. 14, 2012). The key question in considering such a motion is whether it will prejudice the remaining parties. See, e.g., Loose, 2012 WL 48005, at *1.

Here, granting Plaintiff-Intervenors' motion is proper and their withdrawal will not prejudice the rights of the other parties—materially or otherwise. The original Plaintiffs continue to pursue their claims in this case and Plaintiff-Intervenors' withdrawal will not impact those claims. Nor are there any pending claims or counterclaims against Plaintiff-Intervenors. As a result, this is a non-dispositive motion that will not dispense with any of the claims at issue in this case; the only impact will be that Plaintiff-Intervenors will no longer be parties to the case. In addition, counsel for Plaintiff-Intervenors have informed

ı	Case 2:21-cv-01423-DWL	Document 30	7 Filed 01/13/25	Page 3 of 3		
1	counsel for all other parties of Plaintiff-Intervenors' intent to withdraw; no party opposes.					
2	Plaintiff-Intervenors accordingly request dismissal as Plaintiffs in this case and that					
3	each party bear their own costs.					
4	Dated: January 13, 2	025 R	espectfully Submitte	ed,		
5						
6		/-	/ David A Auglian			
7		·	<i>/ Daniel A. Arellano</i> oy Herrera (Bar No.			
8			aniel A. Arellano (E			
9			ERRERA ARELL 001 North Central A			
10		S	uite 404			
11			hoenix, Arizona 850 elephone: (602) 567			
		ro	y@ha-firm.com			
12		d	aniel@ha-firm.com			
13			isabeth C. Frost*			
14			enata O'Donnell* LIAS LAW GROU	TD I I D		
15				venue NW, Suite 400		
16			Vashington, DC 2000			
17		F	hone: (202) 968-449 acsimile: (202) 968-			
18	2ETRIEVED	e	rost@elias.law			
19		ro	odonnell@elias.law			
20			en Stafford*	IDIID		
21			LIAS LAW GROU 700 Seventh Ave, Si			
22		S	eattle, WA 98101			
			hone: (206) 656-017 stafford@elias.law	6		
23						
24			ttorneys for Plaintifj Admitted Pro Hac V			
25		-	immilied I TO IIUC V			
26						
27						
28						