IN THE SUPREME COURT OF KANSAS

of State, in his official capacity,))
and)
MICHAEL ABBOTT, Wyandotte County Election Commissioner, in his official capacity,))))
Petitioners,))
v.	Case No. 124849 (Original Action)
THE HONORABLE BILL KLAPPER, in his official capacity as a District Court Judge, Twenty-Ninth Judicial District,)))))))))))))
Respondent.	C. C
FAITH RIVERA, DIOSSELYN TOT- VELASQUEZ, KIMBERLY WEAVER, PARIS RAITE, DONNAVAN DILLON, and LOUD LIGHT,))))
Plaintiffs in Wyandotte County District Court Case)))
2022-CV-89 and Respondents under Kansas Supreme Court Rule 9.01(a)(1),)))
and))
TOM ALONZO, SHARON AL-UQDAH, AMY CARTER, CONNIE BROWN COLLINS, SHEYVETTE DINKENS, MELINDA LAVON, ANA MARCELA))))
MALDONADO MORALES, LIZ MEITL, RICHARD NOBLES, ROSE SCHWAR and ANNA WHITE))

Plaintiffs in Wyandotte
County District Court Case
2022-CV-90 and Respondents
under Kansas Supreme Court
Rule 9.01(a)(1).

MOTION FOR A STAY OF DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS

Petitioners Kansas Secretary of State Scott Schwab and Wyandotte County Election Commissioner Michael Abbott respectfully move this Court for an order staying district court proceedings in *Rivera v. Schwab*, 22-CV-89 (Wyandotte County D. Ct.), and *Alonzo v. Schwab*, 22-CV-90 (Wyandotte County D. Ct.). The petitions filed in those cases, which are attached to the petition in this case as Exhibits C and D, ask the district court to consider the validity of Kansas's recently enacted congressional redistricting map. There is no precedent for Kansas state court review of congressional redistricting. The petitions primarily ask the district court to recognize that several provisions of the Kansas Constitution prohibit partisan gerrymandering. The petitions also allege that the Kansas Constitution prohibits racial gerrymandering and that the map enacted by the Kansas Legislature dilutes the voting power of certain minority groups. The legal foundations of the petitions—which have never been established by this Court—are presented in this mandamus and quo warranto action.

This Court should exercise mandamus and quo warranto jurisdiction over this proceeding and stay the district court proceedings because this Court possesses the inherent power to "protect its own jurisdiction, its own process, its own proceedings, its own orders, and its own judgments; and for this purpose it may, when necessary, prohibit or restrain the performance of any act which might interfere with the proper exercise of its rightful jurisdiction in cases pending before it." *Chicago, K. & W. Rld. Co. v. Comm'rs of Chase Co.*, 42 Kan. 223, 225, 21 P. 1071 (1889). A stay would prevent the inefficient use of judicial resources that would result from concurrently litigating the same legal issues here and in the district court.

The petitions raise novel claims of political and racial gerrymandering under the Kansas Constitution only a few months before the deadline for candidates for national offices to file the necessary paperwork for primary elections. See K.S.A. 25-205(a), (h). The United States Supreme Court has held that partisan gerrymandering claims present political questions beyond the reach of federal courts. Rucho v. Common Cause, 139 S. Ct. 2484 (2019). As explained in Petitioners' Memorandum in Support of Petition in Mandamus and Quo Warranto, this Court's exercise of its original jurisdiction is the most appropriate and efficient means for resolving these issues of statewide importance. See, e.g., Harris v. Anderson, 194 Kan. 302, 400 P.2d 25 (1965). Petitioners also explain in their memorandum why (1) the district court lacks the authority to adjudicate the validity of a congressional redistricting map; (2) partisan gerrymandering claims are not justiciable under the Kansas Constitution; and (3) the petitions fail to make a facial claim of purposeful racial vote dilution.

Since this Court is the ultimate arbiter of whether the Kansas Constitution permits claims of partisan gerrymandering and the constitutional standard for judging claims of racial gerrymandering, time spent litigating these important legal issues in the district court would be wasted resolving issues that should be promptly decided by this Court. To that end, Petitioners are contemporaneously filing a motion to expedite this proceeding.

For these reasons, Petitioners respectfully request that this Court stay the district court proceedings in *Rivera v. Schwab*, 22-CV-89 (Wyandotte County D. Ct.), and Alonzo v. Schwab, 22-CV-90 (Wyandotte County D. Ct.).

Respectfully submitted,

OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL DEREK SCHMIDT

By: /s/ Brant M. Laue Derek Schmidt, #17781 Attorney General of Kansas Jeffrey A. Chanay, #12056 Chief Deputy Attorney General Brant M. Laue, #16857 Solicitor General of Kansas Dwight R. Carswell, #25111 Deputy Solicitor General Shannon Grammel, #29105 Deputy Solicitor General Kurtis K. Wiard, #26373 Assistant Solicitor General

Memorial Bldg., 2nd Floor 120 SW 10th Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66612-1597 Tel: (785) 296-2215 Fax: (785) 291-3767

Email: jeff.chanay@ag.ks.gov brant.laue@ag.ks.gov

dwight.carswell@ag.ks.gov shannon.grammel@ag.ks.gov kurtis.wiard@ag.ks.gov

Attorneys for Petitioners Scott Schwab and Michael Abbott

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on February 18, 2022, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was served as per Kan. Sup. Ct. R. 1.11(a) and K.S.A. 60-205(b)(2)(C) by depositing a copy in the U.S. mail to the following mailing addresses, and electronic copies were also sent to the following email addresses:

Judge Bill Klapper
Wyandotte County Courthouse
710 N. 7th St.
Kansas City, KS 66101
kcoulter@wycokck.org (administrative aide)

Respondent

Sharon Brett
Josh Pierson
Kayla DeLoach
American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Kansas
6701 W. 64th St. Suite 201
Overland Park, KS 66202
sbrett@aclukansas.org
jpierson@aclukansas.org
kdeloach@aclukansas.org

Mark P. Gaber
Kevin Hancock
Sam Horan
Christopher Lamar
Orion de Nevers
Campaign Legal Center
1101 14th St. NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005
mgaber@campaignlegalcenter.org
khancock@campaignlegalcenter.org
shoran@campaignlegalcenter.org

<u>clamar@campaignlegalcenter.org</u> odenevers@campaignlegalcenter.org

Elisabeth S. Theodore
R. Stanton Jones
John A. Freedman
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
601 Massachusetts Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20001
elisabeth.theodore@arnoldporter.com
stanton.jones@arnoldporter.com
john.freedman@arnoldporter.com

Rick Rehorn Tomasic & Rehorn P.O. Box 171855 Kansas City, KS 66117-0855 rick@tomasicrehorn.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs in Alonzo v. Schwab, 2022-CV-90 (Wyandotte County D. Ct.)

Barry Grissom
Jake Miller
Grissom Miller Law Firm LLC
1600 Genessee St., Suite 460
Kansas City, MO 64102
barry@grissommiller.com
jake@grissommiller.com

Abha Khanna Elias Law Group LLP 1700 Seventh Ave., Suite 2100 Seattle WA 98101 akhanna@elias.law

Lalitha D. Madduri
Henry J. Brewster
Spencer Klein
Elias Law Group LLP
10 G. Street NE, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20002
lmadduri@elias.law

hbrewster@elias.law sklein@elias.law

Attorneys for Plaintiffs in Rivera v. Schwab, 2022-CV-89 (Wyandotte County D. Ct.)

/s/ Brant M. Laue Brant M. Laue