No. 22-16490

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ARIZONA ALLIANCE FOR RETIRED AMERICANS, et al., Plaintiff-Appellees,

V.

KRISTIN K. MAYES, in her official capacity as Arizona Attorney General,

Defendant-Appellants,

and

YUMA COUNTY REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE,

Intervenor-Defendant-Appellants,

and

ADRIAN FONTES, in his official capacity as Arizona Secretary of State; et al.

Defendants.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Case No. 2.22-CV-01374-GMS

INTERVENOR-DEFENDANT'S JOINDER RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

Brett W. Johnson

Eric H. Spencer

Colin P. Ahler

Tracy A. Olson

One East Washington Street,

Suite 2700

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Telephone: (602) 382-6000 Counsel for Yuma County

<u>bwjohnson@swlaw.com</u>
<u>espencer@swlaw.com</u>

**Committee

 $\underline{cahler@swlaw.com}$

tolson@swlaw.com Dated: October 28, 2024

Intervenor-Defendant Yuma County Republican Party hereby gives notice to the Court that it joins the Attorney General's Response to Petition for Rehearing *En Banc*. [Dkt. 96] For the reasons stated therein, this Court should deny the Petition for Rehearing.

Additionally, for the reasons argued by Defendants before the panel, and articulated by the panel's concurring opinion, Plaintiffs' merits arguments regarding the Cancellation Provision are legally deficient. Plaintiffs' incorrect interpretation of the Cancellation Provision is another reason to deny rehearing. However, if this Court grants rehearing, the merits of the Cancellation Provision should be considered as an alternative basis for reversing the district court's decision.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of October, 2024.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

By: s/Brett W. Johnson
Brett W. Johnson
Eric H. Spencer
Colin P. Ahler
Tracy A. Olson
SNELL & WILMER, LLP
One East Washington Street
Suite 2700
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
(602) 382-6000
bwjohnson@swlaw.com
espencer@swlaw.com
cahler@swlaw.com
tolson@swlaw.com

Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant
Yuma County Republican Committee

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

Form 11. Certificate of Compliance for Petitions for Rehearing/Responses

	http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/forms/form11instructions.pdf
9th Cir. Case Number(s)	22-16490
·	self-represented party.
I certify that pursuant	t to Circuit Rule 35-4 or 40-1, the attached petition for
panel rehearing/petition for	rehearing en banc/response to petition is (select one):
-	peface, and type style that complies with Fed. R. App. ains the following number of words: 104
(Petitions and responses mus	- RA
OR	COMPER
○ In compliance with Fed.	R. App. P. 32(a)(4)-(6) and does not exceed 15 pages.
Signature s/ Brett W. Jol	hnson Date Oct 28, 2024
(use "s/[typed name]" to sign el	ectronically-filed documents)

Form 11 Rev. 12/01/2021

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 28th day of October, 2024, I caused the foregoing document to be electronically transmitted to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for Filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to CM/ECF registrants.

s/ Abigail Bahorich

REPRIENTED FROM DEINOCRACYDOCKER, COM