

1 KRISTEN CLARKE  
Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights  
2 REBECCA B. BOND (Cal. Bar No. 202220)  
Chief, Disability Rights Section  
3 KEVIN J. KIJEWski  
Deputy Chief, Disability Rights Section  
4 ELIZABETH JOHNSON  
ALICE W. YAO  
5 KATHERINE DUTCHER (Cal. Bar No. 313010)  
CHERYL ROST  
6 Trial Attorneys, Disability Rights Section  
U.S. Department of Justice  
7 950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW — 4CON  
Washington, D.C. 20530

8 E. MARTIN ESTRADA  
United States Attorney  
9 DAVID M. HARRIS  
Chief, Civil Division  
10 RICHARD M. PARK  
Chief, Civil Rights Section  
11 KATHERINE M. HIKIDA (Cal. Bar No. 153268)  
12 MATTHEW J. BARRAGAN (Cal. Bar No. 283883)  
MARGARET M. CHEN (Cal. Bar No. 288294)  
13 ALEXANDRA YOUNG (Cal. Bar No. 336004)  
Assistant United States Attorneys  
14 Federal Building, Suite 7516  
300 North Los Angeles Street  
15 Los Angeles, California 90012

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America

17 [additional counsel listed on next page]  
18

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
20 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

21 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 LOS ANGELES COUNTY,  
CALIFORNIA,

25 Defendant.

No. 2:23-cv-05165-FLA(MRWx)

**AGREEMENT**

26 Honorable Fernando L. Aenlle-Rocha  
United States District Judge  
27  
28

1 DAWYN R. HARRISON, County Counsel  
2 PETER BOLLINGER, Assistant County Counsel  
3 EVA CHU, Senior Deputy County Counsel (SBN 235356)  
4 EChu@counsel.lacounty.gov  
5 648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
6 500 West Temple Street  
7 Los Angeles, California 90012-2713  
8 Telephone: (213) 974-0809  
9 CHRISTOPHER PELHAM (BAR NO. 241068)  
10 JACQUELINE C. KARAMA (BAR NO. 311120)  
11 **NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP**  
12 555 South Flower Street Forty-First Floor Los Angeles, California 90071  
13 Telephone: (213) 892-9200  
14 Facsimile: (213) 892-9494  
15 christopher.pelham@nortonrosefulbright.com  
16 jackie.feick@nortonrosefulbright.com  
17  
18 Attorneys for Defendant  
19 Los Angeles County, California  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

1 **I. SUMMARY**

2 1. The parties agree that the below agreement regarding an expert consultant  
3 contains, in substance, all of the principles and terms to which the parties will agree in  
4 settling the above-captioned case. The parties agree that the County’s engagement of a  
5 third-party expert who consults with the County in the manner set forth below  
6 adequately, and in good faith, resolves their disputes in this case. The parties also agree  
7 that the informal dispute resolution process set forth below is sufficient to protect both of  
8 the parties’ interests. The parties agree that the below settlement principles satisfies both  
9 parties’ goals to improve and ensure accessibility in the County’s voting program.

10 2. The parties agree that after they have agreed to the below terms, they shall  
11 not edit or add to the below substantive principles.

12 3. The parties agree that besides this Agreement, there will not be further  
13 agreements that the parties will sign to settle this case.

14 **II. DEFINITIONS OF KEY TERMS**

15 4. “Accessible” means that a vote center is compliant with the 2010 ADA  
16 Standards for Accessible Design (28 C.F.R. § 35.104, as set forth in appendices B and D  
17 to 36 C.F.R. Part 1191 and the requirements contained in 28 C.F.R. §§ 35.150 and  
18 35.151) and the State of California Polling Place Accessibility Guidelines (available at  
19 [https://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/publications-and-resources/polling-place-accessibility-](https://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/publications-and-resources/polling-place-accessibility-guidelines)  
20 [guidelines](https://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/publications-and-resources/polling-place-accessibility-guidelines)) on each day of the Voting Period.

21 5. “Election” or “Voting Period” as used in this Agreement includes any day  
22 on which in-person voting occurs.

23 6. “Expert” means the individual retained by the County pursuant to Paragraph  
24 10 of the Agreement.

25 7. “Temporary Mitigation Measures” (i.e., temporary remedial measures)  
26 refers to any measures that Los Angeles County deploys at Vote Centers to make them  
27 accessible or to improve accessibility, including such measures identified and  
28 recommended by the Expert.

1           8.     “Vote Center” refers to any facility, site, or location, where voters may cast  
2 ballots in person for elections administered by Los Angeles County which includes a  
3 portion of a building, site, or location where voting equipment is set up, the designated  
4 path connecting it to each applicable arrival point including off street accessible parking,  
5 public right of way, drop zone, or public transportation stop, and any features or  
6 elements along those paths such as doors, elevators, or lifts, that are necessary to access  
7 the voting room, cast the ballot, and exit the facility.

8           9.     “Informal resolution” means the resolution of a disagreement by Chief  
9 Magistrate Judge Stevenson pursuant to Paragraphs 16 and 18 of the Agreement.

10 **III.   SELECTON AND DUTIES OF AN INDEPENDENT EXPERT**

11           10.    The County will retain an independent accessibility expert (“Expert”) with  
12 substantial credentials and experience in accessible design. The Expert shall be a  
13 certified disability access consultant (Certified Access Specialist (CASp certification  
14 required)), accessibility inspector, and plans examiner, and with qualifications,  
15 background, and expertise in the accessibility of government programs under the ADA,  
16 including with the 2010 ADA Standards for Accessible Design (28 C.F.R. § 35.104, as  
17 set forth in Appendices B and D to 36 C.F.R. Part 1191 and the requirements contained  
18 in 28 C.F.R. § 35.151) (2010 Standards), with the ability to apply that understanding to  
19 short term events. Preference will be given to candidates who also have substantial  
20 credentials and expertise in election operations and accessibility of voting locations, and  
21 familiarity with the California Voter’s Choice Act, including verification of experience  
22 working with a state office, county, or city to develop, implement, or conduct voting  
23 location assessments, preferably in California.

24           11.    The Expert shall serve in this role for a period of three years commencing  
25 upon the execution of the County’s contract with the Expert.

26           12.    The County will pay all fees and costs associated with the Expert as  
27 negotiated between the County and the Expert on a per Fiscal Year basis for services  
28 specific to and for the duration of this agreement.

1           13. Starting no later than on August 15, 2024, the County will conduct a  
2 competitive solicitation process for the identification and selection of the Expert. The  
3 County and the United States shall agree on the statement of qualifications and  
4 prerequisites for the Expert before soliciting bids for the Expert. In order to ensure that  
5 this solicitation process proceeds efficiently, the United States shall take no more than  
6 four (4) weeks to agree to that statement of qualification and prerequisites for the Expert.  
7 The County shall not be in any breach of this agreement if the County does not receive  
8 qualified responses in that process. The County will, however, redo the bidding process  
9 in the event that no qualified vendors submit bids during the initial solicitation until a  
10 qualified Expert is selected.

11           14. Upon retaining the Expert, the County will provide the Expert with (1)  
12 reasonable access to County information related to the accessibility of its voting program  
13 and (2) designate a County employee as a point of contact to facilitate the Expert's  
14 access to and engagement with such information.

15           a. The County information provided to the Expert will include the  
16 training materials, policies, and procedures that relate to the  
17 accessibility of the County's Vote Centers, as well as Surveys,  
18 Mitigation Plans, Signage Plans, Maps, and photographs for  
19 identified facilities, buildings, sites, or locations that it reasonably  
20 maintains as viable for consideration as Vote Centers for future  
21 Elections. If any of these materials are updated after the County  
22 provides them to the Expert, the County shall provide such updated  
23 information to the Expert as soon as reasonably possible.

24           b. The County will also provide a list of one hundred (100) facilities,  
25 buildings, sites, or locations maintained as viable for consideration as  
26 Vote Centers that the Expert will evaluate for the Expert's first draft  
27 Report.

28           15. For purposes of this agreement, the duties of the Expert will include the

1 following:

- 2 a. Consulting with the County on site selection policies and procedures  
3 to ensure that the County selects properties/facilities, buildings, sites,  
4 or locations to serve as Vote Centers that are accessible or can be  
5 made more accessible during the voting period by employing  
6 Temporary Mitigation Measures, with the Expert recognizing that the  
7 County must also consider the requirements of federal and state  
8 election laws pertaining to site selection (including, in particular, the  
9 California Voter’s Choice Act). The Expert will also consult with the  
10 County on its identification and use of Temporary Mitigation  
11 Measures for accessibility;
- 12 b. Evaluating the County’s policies and procedures that relate to the  
13 accessibility of the County’s Vote Centers;
- 14 c. On December 15, 2024, and every six (6) months thereafter,  
15 providing a draft report for at least one hundred (100) facilities,  
16 buildings, sites, or locations maintained as viable for consideration as  
17 Vote Centers selected by the County and for which the County  
18 provided Surveys, Mitigation Plans, Signage plans, maps, and  
19 photographs. The County may respond with reasonable alternative  
20 Temporary Mitigation Measures to any specific Temporary  
21 Mitigation Measure with which the Expert disagrees or permanent  
22 modifications to a reviewed facility maintained as viable for  
23 consideration as a Vote Center, with the understanding that any such  
24 changes both temporary and permanent are subject to, among other  
25 factors, available funding, feasible timing and the willingness of  
26 property owners and site managers to cooperate with the County. For  
27 each facility, building, site, or location maintained as viable for  
28 consideration as a Vote Center constructed after January 26, 1992

1 (where the County is reasonably able to determine the date on which  
2 the building was constructed), by, on behalf of, or for the use of the  
3 County, the Expert's proposed modifications to the County's  
4 Mitigation Plans and Signage Plans may include both reasonable  
5 alternative Temporary Mitigation Measures to any specific  
6 Temporary Mitigation Measure which the Expert recommends and  
7 permanent modifications when required to meet the County's Title II  
8 obligations (subject to the same understanding above by the Expert).

- 9 d. Including in one of the Expert's biannual reports under section 15(c),  
10 where the Expert deems necessary, a recommendation that the  
11 County reject or discontinue maintaining as viable a facility, building,  
12 site, or location as a Vote Center because it is not sufficiently  
13 accessible or cannot be made accessible during a voting period.
- 14 e. Any duties agreed upon with the Expert beyond the scope of this  
15 agreement may only be added and approved at the discretion of the  
16 County.

17 16. With respect to the Expert reports described in Paragraph 15(c) and (d)  
18 above:

- 19 a. When the Expert completes a draft report, the Expert shall first  
20 submit that draft to the County. The County shall have thirty (30)  
21 days to meet-and-confer with the Expert if the County has comments,  
22 suggestions, edits, or disagreements with respect to the draft report.  
23 The County may, at its discretion, submit a written response to the  
24 draft report that, among other things, explains why the County cannot  
25 implement a recommendation or suggestion of the Expert, or  
26 alternative sites or approaches or facilities, buildings, sites, or  
27 locations that the County believes are more feasible than the Expert's  
28 recommendation. The Expert may amend the draft report following

1 this meet-and-confer period with the County.

- 2 b. After the 30-day period in 16(a), the Expert shall share the draft  
3 report with the United States, as well as notify the United States if  
4 there is still an impasse between the Expert and the County under  
5 16(a). The Expert will provide the United States with Surveys,  
6 Mitigation Plans, Signage Plans, Maps, and photographs, as well as  
7 updated County information, for facilities, buildings, sites, or  
8 locations maintained as viable for consideration as Vote Centers  
9 evaluated by the Expert during the reporting period upon sending the  
10 Expert's draft report. Over a further 30-day period, the Expert, the  
11 County, and the United States may meet-and-confer regarding  
12 disagreements the parties have regarding that draft report.
- 13 c. Following the two meet-and-confer periods in subsection (a) and (b)  
14 above, if there is no further disagreement between the parties, the  
15 Expert shall complete a final report.
- 16 d. If, however, following the two meet-and-confer periods in  
17 subsections (a) and (b) above, there remains a disagreement between  
18 the parties regarding the Expert's draft report, either party may raise  
19 the issue with Chief U.S. Magistrate Judge Stevenson for an informal  
20 resolution. Each of the County, the Expert, and the United States may  
21 submit written reports to Judge Stevenson in that informal dispute  
22 resolution.

23 17. The Expert's duties are limited to the matters described in Paragraph 15  
24 above. The Expert shall not participate in, be instructed to evaluate, or receive materials  
25 related to the County's placement of Vote Centers (although the Expert may evaluate  
26 policies and procedures related to the County's process for selecting Vote Center sites),  
27 unless requested by the County.

28 18. The County shall not be in breach of this agreement if it disagrees with, or



1 declines to follow the recommendations of the Expert and the County follows the  
2 informal resolution procedure set forth above. Once the County has selected and engaged  
3 an Expert under Paragraphs 10 and 13 above, either Party may allege a violation of this  
4 agreement only through the informal dispute resolution set forth above in Paragraph  
5 16(d). That is, before a party seeks a resolution by Judge Stevenson for a violation of this  
6 agreement, it must (1) submit the issue to the other party, and allow that party to consult  
7 with the Expert for a period of 30 days and (2) following that 30- day period, the parties  
8 must meet-and-confer with one another for another 30 days. After satisfying that  
9 process, if a dispute still exists, the party may raise the issue with Judge Stevenson for an  
10 informal resolution, with each of parties and the Expert permitted to submit written  
11 reports to Judge Stevenson as part of that resolution process.

12         19. The County will widely publicize the availability of its curbside voting  
13 program. The County's curbside voting program at each Vote Center will comply with  
14 Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and its implementing regulation, 28  
15 C.F.R. §§ 35.130(b), 35.150.

16         20. The Court will retain jurisdiction of this matter for the term of this  
17 agreement for the sole purpose of facilitating the parties' cooperation and resolving  
18 disputes, if any occur, under the informal dispute resolution process set forth above. This  
19 agreement will terminate at the end of the Expert's contract with the County as provided  
20 for in Paragraph 11.

21         21. No more than five (5) business days after the County has selected an Expert  
22 under Paragraphs 10 and 13 above, the United States shall dismiss its complaint with  
23 prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41, in *United States v. Los Angeles*  
24 *County*, No. 2:23-CV-05165-FLA (MRWx) (C.D. Cal.). The parties hereby agree,  
25 however, for the Court to retain jurisdiction to enforce this agreement. The parties  
26 further agree that the United States will request that the Court's order of dismissal  
27 incorporate the terms of this agreement and indicate the parties' agreement that the Court  
28 retain ancillary jurisdiction to enforce this settlement. That is, the parties agree to satisfy

1 any procedural requirements to allow the Court to retain post-dismissal jurisdiction to  
2 enforce the terms of this agreement, per *K.C. ex rel. Erica C. v. Torlakson*, 762 F.3d 962,  
3 967-68 (9th Cir. 2014) and related cases. Notwithstanding the agreements in this  
4 paragraph, however, the parties understand and agree that all disputes related to this  
5 agreement, including any allegation that a party has breached the agreement, must  
6 proceed through the informal dispute resolution process set forth above, with a final  
7 decision by Chief Magistrate Judge Karen Stevenson providing the final determination  
8 on any such dispute (that is, without the parties having any ability to appeal a decision by  
9 Chief Magistrate Judge Stevenson). In the event Chief Magistrate Judge Stevenson is no  
10 longer serving as a Magistrate Judge, the parties agree that another Magistrate Judge will  
11 be selected to preside over this dispute resolution process in consultation with the Chief  
12 Magistrate Judge who is presiding at that time.

13 Dated: August 1, 2024


14 E. MARTIN ESTRADA  
15 United States Attorney  
16 DAVID M. HARRIS  
17 Chief, Civil Division

18   
19 RICHARD M. PARK  
20 Chief, Civil Rights Section

21 KATHERINE M. HIKIDA  
22 MATTHEW J. BARRAGAN  
23 MARGARET M. CHEN  
24 ALEXANDRA YOUNG  
25 Assistant United States Attorneys

26 Dated: July 14, 2024

27 KRISTEN CLARKE  
28 Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights  
REBECCA B. BOND  
Chief, Disability Rights Section  
KEVIN J. KIJEWSKI  
Deputy Chief, Disability Rights Section

  
ELIZABETH JOHNSON  
ALICE W. YAO  
KATHERINE DUTCHER  
CHERYL ROST  
Trial Attorneys  
Disability Rights Section

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEAN C. LOGAN

Los Angeles County Registrar-  
Recorder/County Clerk

1 any procedural requirements to allow the Court to retain post-dismissal jurisdiction to  
2 enforce the terms of this agreement, per *K.C. ex rel. Erica C. v. Torlakson*, 762 F.3d 962,  
3 967-68 (9th Cir. 2014) and related cases. Notwithstanding the agreements in this  
4 paragraph, however, the parties understand and agree that all disputes related to this  
5 agreement, including any allegation that a party has breached the agreement, must  
6 proceed through the informal dispute resolution process set forth above, with a final  
7 decision by Chief Magistrate Judge Karen Stevenson providing the final determination  
8 on any such dispute (that is, without the parties having any ability to appeal a decision by  
9 Chief Magistrate Judge Stevenson). In the event Chief Magistrate Judge Stevenson is no  
10 longer serving as a Magistrate Judge, the parties agree that another Magistrate Judge will  
11 be selected to preside over this dispute resolution process in consultation with the Chief  
12 Magistrate Judge who is presiding at that time.

13 Dated: July XX, 2024

14 E. MARTIN ESTRADA  
United States Attorney  
15 DAVID M. HARRIS  
Chief, Civil Division  
16 RICHARD M. PARK  
Chief, Civil Rights Section

REBECCA B. BOND  
Chief, Disability Rights Section  
KEVIN J. KIJEWSKI  
Deputy Chief, Disability Rights Section

17  
18  
19 KATHERINE M. HIKIDA  
MATTHEW J. BARRAGAN  
MARGARET M. CHEN  
ALEXANDRA YOUNG  
Assistant United States Attorneys

ELIZABETH JOHNSON  
ALICE W. YAO  
KATHERINE DUTCHER  
CHERYL ROST  
Trial Attorneys  
Disability Rights Section

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America

23 Dated: July 14, 2024

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

24  
25   
26 DEAN C. LOGAN  
27 Los Angeles County Registrar-  
Recorder/County Clerk