ELECTRONICALLY FILED 9/5/2024 1:28 PM

Heidi Percy County Clerk

Snohomish County, WASH Case Number: 24-2-06945-31

1 2 3 4 5 STATE OF WASHINGTON 6 SNOHOMISH COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 7

WASHINGTON STATE REPUBLICAN PARTY,

24-2-06945-31

8 9

10

v.

KING COUNTY and JULIE WISE, in her official capacity as the King County Director of Elections,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

13

11

I. PARTIES.

- 1. Plaintiff Washington State Republican Party is a political party organized for the purpose of promoting winning candidates in partisan elections at all levels of government in the State of Washington.
- 2. Defendant King County is a municipal corporation organized under the laws of the State of Washington.
- 3. Defendant Julie Wise is the King County Director of Elections, and is sued in her official capacity.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE.

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under RCW 7.24, RCW 7.40, and RCW 29A.68.
- 5. Venue is proper in Snohomish County, Washington, under RCW 36.01.050.

27

COMPLAINT - 1 WSRP v. King County (Snohomish C'ty Sup. Ct.) ARD LAW GROUP PLLC

P.O. Box 11633 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 Phone: (206) 701-9243

III. FACTS.

- 6. King County Elections operates the "Signature challenges" website at https://kingcounty.gov/en/legacy/depts/elections/how-to-vote/ballots/signaturechallenges.
- 7. Democracy Live, a private for profit third-party company, operates the OmniBallot website (https://wa.omniballot.us/sites/53033/site/app/home) where King County voters are automatically directed in order to cure their ballot affidavit signatures online.
- 8. During the 2024 primary election, 2092 ballot signature affidavits were cured using the OmniBallot system in King County.
- 9. Seven candidates contested the August 6, 2024 primary election for Commissioner of Public Lands.
- 10. Among the candidates was Sue Kuehl Pederson.
- 11. Pederson was supported by Plaintiff Washington State Republican Party.
- 12. Plaintiff Washington State Republican Party wanted Pederson to win, and devoted some of its limited time and resources to assist her in winning.
- 13. Upon certification of the recount of the primary results by the Secretary of State on September 4, 2024, candidates Sue Pederson and Dave Upthegrove were separated by just 49 votes.
- 14. Pederson finished third according to that certification, failing to advance to the general election.
- 15. However, if the ballots illegally cured using the OmniBallot system had not been included in King County's final elections tally, there is less than a one in a billion chance that Dave Upthegrove would be in the top two candidates moving onto the general election in the race for Commissioner of Public Lands.
- 16. But for King County's illegal use of the OmniBallot system, Pederson would have advanced to the November 2024 general election.

25

26

27

17. Plaintiff WSRP was harmed by King County's use of the illegal OmniBallot system inasmuch as its otherwise successful support for Pederson was undermined by King County's actions.

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION.

A. Declaratory Relief.

- 18. RCW 29A.60.165(4) requires that "an auditor who provides electronic means for submission of a ballot declaration signature shall establish appropriate privacy and security protocols that ensure that the information transmitted is received directly and securely by the auditor and is only used for the stated purposes of verifying the signature on the voter's ballot."
- 19. Plaintiffs seek a declaration that the ballots cured using the OmniBallot system are invalid because the information transmitted by the voter is received and validated by a private for profit third party third-party prior to transmitted to the King County Auditor.
- 20. Plaintiffs seek declaratory judgment that the use of a private for profit company to receive and validate signatures is inconsistent with RCW 29A.60.165(4) and unlawful.

B. Injunctive Relief.

21. Because the use of the OmniBallot system for the automated curing of ballot affidavit signatures was operated on a private for-profit third-party platform and the information transmitted is received by a third party before transmittal to the King County Auditor, the reporting of ballots cured by this method should be enjoined.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF.

Wherefore Plaintiff Prays For The Following Relief:

1. Entry of judgment declaring that King County's use of the OmniBallot system for ballot signature curing, in its entirety, is invalid because the information transmitted by the voter is received by a third-party before being received by the King County Auditor in contradiction with RCW 29A.60.165(4);

1	2. Entry of an injunction against King County to bar the reporting of ballots improperly cured
2	by use of the OmniBallot system.
3	3. Such other and further relief as the court deems just and equitable.
4	///
5	///
6	///
7	///
8	///
9	September 5, 2024.
10	
11	
12	ARD LAW GROUP PLLC
13	
14	By:
15	Joel B. Ard, WSBA # 40104 P.O. Box 11633
16	Bainbridge Island, WA 98110
17	206.701.9243 Joel@Ard.law
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff WSRP
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	