Electronically Filed
10/7/2024 7:14 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

OBJ

Brad Lee Barnhill
 8104 Defiance Ave.
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129
 T: 702.613.2576
 e: electbarnhill@gmail.com
 Intervenor, in propria persona

In the Eighth Judicial District Court

Clark county, state of Nevada

CITIZEN OUTREACH FOUNDATION, CHARLES MUTH, individually,

Petitioners,

v.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LORENA PORTILLO, in her official capacity as the acting Registrar of Voters for Clark County,

. Respondent.

Brad Lee Barnhill, individually, and in his capacity as Independent American Party of Nevada candidate for Nevada Senate District 6,

Putative Intervenor.

CASE NO. A-24-902351-W DEPT. NO. 28

(HEARING REQUESTED)

PUTATIVE INTERVENOR BRAD LEE BARNHILL'S OBJECTION TO PROPOSED INTERVENOR'S MOTION TO INTERVENE BY AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF NEVADA AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Comes now, Putative Intervenor Brad Lee Barnhill (I, Me, My), in propria persona, sui juris, pursuant to the authority of Nev. Sup. Ct. R. 48.1(5), to submit this OBJECTION TO PROPOSED INTERVENOR'S MOTION TO INTERVENE BY AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF NEVADA AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES (hereinafter "Objection"). This Objection is based upon the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and any oral argument considered upon the hearing of this Objection.

I respectfully submit this Objection to the Motion to Intervene filed by the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Nevada. The ACLU has failed to serve the existing parties in this litigation, including the undersigned Petitioners, with a copy of their motion. This

Objection to ACLU Motion to Intervene

Page 1

 failure constitutes a violation of the procedural rules governing service and denies Petitioners their due process rights under both the United States and Nevada Constitutions.

For the reasons set forth below, Petitioners request that the Court deny the ACLU's Motion to Intervene until proper service is effectuated.

SUBSCRIBED and submitted this 7th day of October, in the Year of Our Lord and Savior, Jesus the Christ, Two Thousand Twenty-Four, by:

/s/ Brad Lee Barnhill Brad Lee Barnhill, in propria persona

I. INTRODUCTION

I am the Independent American Party of Nevada candidate for Nevada Senate District 6 in Clark County for the 2024 general election. As a candidate, I have a substantial and legally protected interest in ensuring that the election in Clark County is conducted in a lawful manner and that the voter rolls are properly maintained in accordance with Nevada law.

I seek to intervene in this action because the failure of the Respondent to process challenges to ineligible voters, as required by NRS §293.530 and NRS §293.535, directly impacts the integrity of the election in which Intervenor is participating.

While the Petitioners in this action, Citizen Outreach Foundation and Charles Muth, have brought their claims under a public interest rationale, m interest is distinct in that he has a personal stake in ensuring that the election process is fair and that his candidacy is not undermined by improper or ineligible voter registrations.

II. FAILURE TO SERVE VIOLATES PROCEDURAL RULES AND DUE PROCESS

Under Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 5(a), a motion must be served on all parties to the action. The purpose of this rule is to ensure that all parties have an opportunity to respond to motions and other filings. Failure to serve the motion denies the parties their fundamental right to due process, which includes the right to notice and the opportunity to be heard.

 Here, the ACLU has failed to serve all parties with a copy of their Motion to Intervene. Despite my attempt to obtain a copy of the motion via email, the ACLU has failed to respond. This procedural deficiency denies all parties the opportunity to fully review and respond to the arguments presented in the motion, which directly impacts the fair adjudication of this matter.

III. DUE PROCESS REQUIRES NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND

The right to due process is enshrined in both the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution and Article 1, Section 8 of the Nevada Constitution. It mandates that no person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law. This principle extends to civil litigation and requires that all parties to a lawsuit be given proper notice of proceedings and the opportunity to respond.

By failing to serve the parties with a copy of their Motion to Intervene, the ACLU has effectively denied the parties their right to due process. I cannot adequately defend my interests or provide a substantive response without access to the motion, and the lack of notice prejudices my ability to protect their legal rights.

IV. REQUEST FOR DENIAL OR DEFERRAL OF THE MOTION

In light of the ACLU's failure to serve the parties with their Motion to Intervene, I respectfully request that the Court deny the motion until such time as proper service is effectuated in compliance with NRCP 5(a). Alternatively, I request that the Court defer consideration of the motion until we have been properly served and given a reasonable opportunity to respond.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, I respectfully request that the Court deny the ACLU of Nevada's Motion to Intervene or, in the alternative, defer consideration of the motion until proper service is completed.

///

SUBSCRIBED and submitted this 2nd day of October, in the Year of Our Lord and Savior, Jesus the Christ, Two Thousand Twenty-Four. /s/ Brad Lee Barnhill Brad Lee Barnhill, in propria persona

Page 4

Objection to ACLU Motion to Intervene

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5 (b), I hereby certify that I am the putative Intervenor in this action, 2 and that on the 7th day day of October, 2024, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing 3 PUTATIVE INTERVENOR BRAD LEE BARNHILL'S OBJECTION TO PROPOSED 4 INTERVENOR'S MOTION TO INTERVENE BY AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES 5 UNION OF NEVADA AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, as 6 indicated below by: 7 8 the documents were submitted electronically for filing and/or service within the X Eighth Judicial District Court pursuant to Administrative Order 14-02 for 9 eservice to the following: 10 placing a copy of the original in a sealed envelope, first-class postage fully 11 prepaid thereon, and depositing the envelope in the U.S. mail at Las Vegas, Nevada addressed as follows: 12 sending the document by facsimile transmission to the following parties: 13 14 by hand delivery to the following addresses: 15 BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER David C. O'Mara, Esq. THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, 16 DANIEL BRAVO 311 E. Liberty Street BRAVO SCHRAGER LLP 17 Reno, Nevada 89501 6675 South Tenaya Way, Suite 200 Counsel for Petitioners Las Vegas, NV 89113 18 19 STEVEN B. WOLFSON DAVID R. FOX District Attorney ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP 20 CIVIL DIVISION 50 Massachusetts Ave NW, Suite 400 LISA V. LOGSDON Washington, DC 20001 21 Tel: (202) 968-4490 COUNTY COUNSEL 22 500 South Grand Central Pkwy. 5th Flr. Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor-Respondents Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2215 Rise, Institute for a Progressive Nevada, 23 F: (702) 382-5178 and the Nevada Alliance for Retired Attorneys for Respondent Lorena Portillo **Americans** 24 25 Sadmira Ramic 4362 W. Cheyenne Ave. /s/ Brad Lee Barnhill 26 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89032 Attorneys for Intervenor ACLU of Nevada Brad Lee Barnhill, in propria persona 27 28

1