ASSIGNED TO JUDGE: ANSWER/RESPONSE DATE: NUMBER Do not send Judge courtesy copy of Petition/Motion/Answer/Response. Status may be obtained online at http://courts.phila.gov MCCORMICK VS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTION MISCELLANE OF DOCUMENT FILED: Has another petition/motion beed Is another petition/motion pedition/motion to which you are response to Motion TYPE OF PETITION/MOTION (see list on reverse side) MISCELLANEOUS MOTION/PETITION ANSWER / RESPONSE FILED TO (Please insert the title of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responsed to data at the title of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responsed to a data at the petition and unrepresented parties. Attac at tormey of record and unrepresented parties. Attac at tormey of record and unrepresented parties. Attac STREET , PHIL2 PHILADELPHIA COU CITY HALL, RM RENNEDY BLVD , USA M. DEELEY	
ASSIGNED TO JUDGE: ANSWER/RESPONSE DATE: NUMBER Do not send Judge courtesy copy of Petition/Motion/Answer/Response. Status may be obtained online at http://courts.phila.gov MCCORMICK VS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTION MISCELLANE OF DOCUMENT FILED: Answer to Petition December 2000 Petition (Attach Rule to Show Cause) Motion Answer to Petition Response to Motion TYPE OF PETITION/MOTION (see list on reverse side) MISCELLANEOUS MOTION / PETITION ANSWER / RESPONSE FILED TO (Please insert the title of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the title of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponding petition/motion to which you are responded to the corresponded to the correspo	DING PARTIES MUST INCLUDE THIS
Do not send Judge courtesy copy of Petition/Motion/Answer/Response. M Status may be obtained online at http://courts.phila.gov No. MCCORMICK VS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY BOARD Name of Filin OF ELECTION DAVID MC NDICATE NATURE OF DOCUMENT FILED: Has another petition/motion been is another petition/motion pedia Petition (Attach Rule to Show Cause) Motion Answer to Petition Response to Motion TYPE OF PETITION/MOTION (see list on reverse side) If the answer to either question is y MISCELLANEOUS MOTION/PETITION Answer / RESPONSE FILED TO (Please insert the title of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are reading at the perimeter of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are reading at the petition of the petition of the list at the list of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are reading the list on reverse side) I. CASE PROGRAM IL PARTIES (required for J NON JURY PROGRAM IL PARTIES (required for J OMAR SABIR CITY HALL, RM KENNEDY BLVD, OMAR SABIR CITY HALL, RM KENNEDY BLVD, ULSA M. DEELEY DARELEY	ON ALL FILINGS)
Status may be obtained online at http://courts.phila.gov No. MCCORMICK VS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY BOARD Name of Filin OF ELECTION DAVID MC Petition (Attach Rule to Show Cause) Motion Answer to Petition Response to Motion TYPE OF PETITION/MOTION (see list on reverse side) MISCELLANEOUS MOTION/PETITION MASWER / RESPONSE FILED TO (Please insert the title of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are restarmed parties. Attac atorney of record and unrepresented parties. Attac atorney of record at atorney of record atorney of record at atorney of record atorney of record	ovember Term, 2024
DAVID MC OF ELECTION DAVID MC OF ELECTION DAVID MC OF ELECTION Has another petition/motion beer is another petition/motion pendit is another petition/motion pendit if the answer to either question is y Petition (Attach Rule to Show Cause) X Motion Has another petition/motion beer is another petition/motion pendit if the answer to either question is y MISCELLANEOUS MOTION/PETITION ANSWER / RESPONSE FILED TO (Please insert the title of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are rest in CASE PROGRAM NON JURY PROGRAM I. CASE PROGRAM NON JURY PROGRAM I. PARTIES (required for program NON JURY PROGRAM NON JURY PROGRAM NON JURY PROGRAM IL PARTIES (required for program GEORGE BOCHETTO BOCHETTO & LEN STREET , PHILA OMAR SABIR CITY HALL, RM KENNEDY BLVD , LISA M. DEELEY	Nonth Year 00912
Image: Differentiation Image: Differentiation Image: Differentiati	
NDICATE NATURE OF DOCUMENT FILED: Is another petition/motion pendid Petition (Attach Rule to Show Cause) Image: Motion Answer to Petition Response to Motion TYPE OF PETITION/MOTION (see list on reverse side) If the answer to either question is y MISCELLANEOUS MOTION/PETITION ANSWER / RESPONSE FILED TO (Please insert the title of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are rest atoms of record and unrepresented parties. Attac atoms of record at atoms of record at unrepresented parties. Attac atoms of record at unrepresented parties. Attac atoms of record at atoms of record atoms of recor	CORMICK-PLF
MISCELLANEOUS MOTION/PETITION ANSWER / RESPONSE FILED TO (Please insert the title of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are rest I. CASE PROGRAM NON JURY PROGRAM NON JURY PROGRAM GEORGE BOCHETTO BOCHETTO & LEN STREET , PHILA PHILADELPHIA COU CITY HALL, RM KENNEDY BLVD , LISA M. DEELEY	g? □ Yes □ Notes, you must identify the judge(s):
ANSWER / RESPONSE FILED TO (Please insert the title of the corresponding petition/motion to which you are rest I. CASE PROGRAM NON JURY PROGRAM NON JURY PROGRAM II. PARTIES (required for p (Nzoce, address and telephy unropresented parties. Attac a torney of record and unrepresented parties	PETITION/MOTION CODE (see list on reverse side)
I. CASE PROGRAM NON JURY PROGRAM NON JURY PROGRAM II. PARTIES (required for J (NZDOC, address and teleph unropresented parties. Attac atorney of record and unrepre GEORGE BOCHETTO BOCHETTO & LEN STREET , PHILA PHILADELPHIA COU CITY HALL, RM KENNEDY BLVD , UMAR SABIR CITY HALL, RM KENNEDY BLVD , LISA M. DEELEY	MTMIS
NON JURY PROGRAM NON JURY PROGRAM (Nzoe, address and telepho unrepresented parties. Attaca a torney of record and unrepresented BOCHETTO & LEN STREET , PHILA PHILADELPHIA COU CITY HALL, RM KENNEDY BLVD , UISA M. DEELEY	ponding):
BOCHETTO & LEN STREET , PHILA PHILADELPHIA COU CITY HALL, RM KENNEDY BLVD , OMAR SABIR CITY HALL, RM KENNEDY BLVD , LISA M. DEELEY	ne number of all counsel of record and a stamped addressed envelope for each
KENNEDY BLVD , SETH BLUESTEIN CITY HALL, RM	 FZ, P.C. 1524 LOCUST DELPHIA PA 19102 NTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS 142 1400 JOHN F. PHILADELPHIA PA 19107
III. OTHER	

By filing this document and signing below, the moving party certifies that this motion, petition, answer or response along with all documents filed, will be served upon all counsel and unrepresented parties as required by rules of Court (see PA. R.C.P. 206.6, Note to 208.2(a), and 440). Furthermore, moving party verifies that the answers made herein are true and correct and understands that sanctions may be imposed for inaccurate or incomplete answers.

GEORGE BOCHETTO

(Attorney Signature/Unrepresented Party)

(Date)

(Print Name)

(Attorney I.D. No.)

The Petition, Motion and Answer or Response, if any, will be forwarded to the Court after the Answer/Response Date. No extension of the Answer/Response Date will be granted even if the parties so stipulate.

FILED

07 NOV 2024 09:53 pm Civil Administration

K. KALOGRIAS

DAVID MCCORMICK

Three PPG Place Suite 500, Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Plaintiff,

v.

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS

City Hall, Rm 142 1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107 COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

NOVEMBER TERM, 2024

CASE I.D.: 241100912

xcrbocker.com

and

COMMISSIONER OMAR SABIR

City Hall, Rm 142 1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107

and

COMMISSIONER LISA M. DEELEY

City Hall, Rm 142 1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107

and

COMMISSIONER SETH BLUESTEIN

City Hall, Rm 142 1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107

Defendants.

RULE TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SPECIAL INJUNCTION PURSUANT TO PA.R.C.P. 1531 SHOULD NOT BE ISSUED

AND NOW, this ______ day of ______, 2024, a Rule to Show Cause is entered upon the Defendants to show why the relief requested in Plaintiff's Petition for a Special Injunction should not be granted in the form of order set forth below. The Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Rule and the Petition upon all Defendants within ______ hours / days from the date of this rule. The Defendants shall file their response(s) to the Petition within ______ hour / days of service of this Rule.

Plaintiff's requested relief:

1. The number of Defendants' personnel examining and adjudicating provisional ballots not exceed the number of authorized representatives of Republican candidates and the Republican Party or, *in the alternative*, that at least one authorized representative from the Republican candidates and/or Republican Party be permitted to directly examine and challenge each provisional ballot.

2. This Order will be effective immediately upon presentation to this Court of Plaintiff's bond in the amount of One Dollar (\$1.00).

BY THE COURT:

J.

BOCHETTO & LENTZ, P.C.

By: George Bochetto, Esquire Matthew L. Minsky, Esquire Brett E. Stander, Esquire Identification Nos.: 27783, 329262, 335798 1524 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 735-3900 (215) 735-2455 fax gbochetto@bochettoandlentz.com mminsky@bochettoandlentz.com bstander@bochettoandlentz.com

DAVID MCCORMICK

Three PPG Place Suite 500, Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Plaintiff,

v.

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS City Hall, Rm 142

1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107

and

COMMISSIONER OMAR SABIR

City Hall, Rm 142 1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107

and

COMMISSIONER LISA M. DEELEY

City Hall, Rm 142 1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107

and

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

NOVEMBER TERM, 2024

CASE I.D.: 241100912

Case ID: 241100912 Control No.: 24111612 **COMMISSIONER SETH BLUESTEIN** City Hall, Rm 142 1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF DAVID MCCORMICK'S EMERGENCY PETITION FOR SPECIAL INJUNCTION

AND NOW, comes Plaintiff David McCormick ("McCormick" or "Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned counsel, Bochetto & Lentz, P.C., and pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. § 1531, requests this Honorable Court to grant the requested Special Injunction against Defendants Philadelphia County Board of Elections, Commissioner Omar Sabir, Commissioner Lisa M. Deeley, and Commissioner Seth Bluestein (collectively, "PCBE" or "Defendants") for the following reasons:

1. The factual basis upon which the Plaintiffs move for a Special Injunction Order requesting is set forth in detail in the Verified Complaint and the accompanying Memorandum of Law, which are incorporated herein by reference. (*See* Verified Complaint, attached hereto as **Exhibit "A."**)

2. As set forth in the Verified Complaint, Plaintiffs recently discovered that the Defendants do not intend on complying with the election law in their examination and adjudication of provisional ballots.

3. Specifically, the examination and adjudication of provisional ballots will occur before more personnel of the Defendants, specifically certified SURE operators, than the Plaintiff and/or Republican Party is permitted to have in authorized representatives pursuant to 25 P.S. § 3050(a.4)(4).

4. As a result, at least one representative of the Plaintiff and/or Republican Party will not be present to observe and/or challenge the examination and adjudication of each provisional

ballot. Therefore, Plaintiff and the Republican Party will be effectively precluded from exercising their rights under 25 P.S. § 3050.

5. Plaintiff McCormick requests the Court grant the relief sought herein, which will mandate that the examination and adjudication of each provisional ballot take place in the presence of at least one authorized representative from each party so that each candidate and/or party's representative has a free and equal opportunity to exercise their rights under 25 P.S. § 3050, such as by keeping a list of those persons who cast a provisional ballot and, if necessary, to easily challenge a determination of the Philadelphia County Board of Elections with respect to the counting or partial counting of any given ballot.

6. This Court should issue an order, as proposed in the Rule to Show Cause, that mandates either (1) the number of Defendants' personnel examining and adjudicating provisional ballots not exceed the number of authorized representatives of Republican candidates and the Republican Party or, *in the alternative*, (2) that at least one authorized representative from the Republican candidates and/or Republican Party be permitted to directly examine and challenge each provisional ballot.

7. Injunctive relief is necessary in this case to prevent immediate and irreparable harm to the Plaintiff and to preserve the *status quo* during the pendency of this litigation.

8. Defendants were provided with written notice of Plaintiffs' intention to seek injunctive relief shortly after the filing of this Petition.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff McCormick respectfully requests this Honorable Court enter a Special Injunction Order providing the relief detailed in the accompanying Rule to Show Cause.

Case ID: 241100912 Control No.: 24111612

Respectfully submitted,

BOCHETTO & LENTZ, P.C.

Dated: November 7, 2024

/s/ George Bochetto

By:

George Bochetto, Esquire PA Attorney ID No. 27783 Matthew L. Minsky, Esquire PA Attorney ID No. 329262 Brett E. Stander, Esquire PA Attorney ID No. 335798 Bochetto & Lentz, P.C. 1524 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19102 Telephone: (215) 735-3900 gbochetto@bochettoandlentz.com mminsky@bochettoandlentz.com bstander@bochettoandlentz.com

Case ID: 241100912 Control No.: 24111612

BOCHETTO & LENTZ, P.C.

By: George Bochetto, Esquire Matthew L. Minsky, Esquire Brett E. Stander, Esquire Identification Nos.: 27783, 329262, 335798 1524 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 735-3900 (215) 735-2455 fax gbochetto@bochettoandlentz.com mminsky@bochettoandlentz.com bstander@bochettoandlentz.com

DAVID MCCORMICK

Three PPG Place Suite 500, Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Plaintiff,

v.

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS City Hall, Rm 142

1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107

and

COMMISSIONER OMAR SABIR

City Hall, Rm 142 1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107

and

COMMISSIONER LISA M. DEELEY

City Hall, Rm 142 1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107

and

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

NOVEMBER TERM, 2024

CASE I.D.: 241100912

COMMISSIONER SETH BLUESTEIN City Hall, Rm 142 1400 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19107

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF HIS EMERGENCY PETITION FOR A SPECIAL INJUNCTION

I. <u>MATTER BEFORE THE COURT</u>

Plaintiff David McCormick's Petition for a Special Injunction to ensure *at least* one representative from each candidate and/or Party are capable of <u>directly</u> observing and challenging the examination and/or adjudication of each provisional ballot as permitted by 25 P.S. § 3050.

As set forth in the Rule to Show Cause, Plaintiff requests this Court issue an order that mandates either (1) the number of Defendants' personnel examining and adjudicating provisional ballots not exceed the number of authorized representatives of Republican candidates and the Republican Party or, *in the alternative*, (2) that at least one authorized representative from the Republican candidates and/or Republican Party be permitted to directly examine and challenge each provisional ballot.

QUESTION PRESENTED

1. Whether the Court should issue a writ of mandamus, injunctive relief and/or declaratory relief so as to ensure the Plaintiff and/or the Republican Party have at least one authorized representative directly observing the examination and/or adjudication of each and every provisional ballot as permitted by 25 P.S. § 3050?

Suggested Answer: Yes.

II. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Plaintiff incorporates by reference the facts set forth in the Verified Complaint, attached

hereto.

III. <u>ARGUMENT</u>

A. Legal Standard.

Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. § 1531(a), this Court:

shall issue a preliminary or special injunction only after written notice and hearing unless it appears to the satisfaction of the court that immediate and irreparable injury will be sustained before notice can be given or a hearing held, in which case the court may issue a preliminary or special injunction without a hearing or without notice. In determining whether a preliminary or special injunction should be granted and whether notice or a hearing should be required, the court may act on the basis of the averments of the pleadings or petition and may consider affidavits of parties or third persons or any other proof which the court may require.

Pa.R.C.P. § 1531.

The Pennsylvania Supreme Court has articulated the following prerequisites for issuance

of a preliminary injunction:

- 1) it is necessary to prevent immediate and irreparable harm which could not be compensated by damages;
- greater injury would result by refusing such relief than by granting it;
- 3) it properly restores the parties to the status quo as it existed immediately prior to the alleged wrongful conduct;
- 4) the activities sought to be restrained are actionable and the injunction is reasonably suited to abate such activity;
- 5) the Plaintiff's right is clear and the alleged wrong is manifest.

John G. Bryant Co. v. Sling Testing & Repair, Inc., 369 A.2d 1164, 1167 (Pa. 1977). "The purpose of a preliminary injunction is to preserve the status quo by restoring it to the last peaceable status which preceeded the alleged wrongful conduct." *Records Ctr., Inc. v. Comprehensive Mgmt., Inc.*, 525 A.2d 433, 434 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1987).

The facts set forth in Plaintiff's Verified Complaint establish that Plaintiff David McCormick is entitled to the requested preliminary injunction, which is designed to protect Plaintiff and the Republican Party's rights under Pa. Const. Art. I, § 5 and 25 P.S. § 3050(a.4)(4).

B. The Prerequisites for Issuance of a Preliminary Injunction Are Satisfied.

(1) <u>Preliminary Injunction Is Necessary to Prevent Immediate and Irreparable</u> <u>Harm Which Cannot Be Compensated By Damages.</u>

If the Defendants carry out the examination and adjudication of provisional ballots using more personnel of the Defendants, specifically certified SURE operators, than the Plaintiff and the Republican Party may have in authorized representatives pursuant to 25 P.S. § 3050(a.4)(4), the Plaintiff and the Republican Party's rights under Pa Const. Art. I, § 5 and 25 P.S. § 3050 will be violated. This is due to the fact that Plaintiff and the Republican Party will be precluded from examining and, if necessary, challenging each provisional ballot as it is examined by Defendants' personnel.

"[W]here the offending conduct sought to be restrained through a preliminary injunction violates a statutory mandate, irreparable injury will have been established." *SEIU Healthcare Pennsylvania v. Com.*, 628 Pa. 573, 594–95, 104 A.3d 495, 508 (2014). Accordingly, if the Defendants examine and adjudicate provisional ballots outside the direct supervision of Plaintiff and/or the Republican Party's authorized representatives, Plaintiff's constitutional and statutory rights will be violated.¹

¹ Commonwealth v. Coward, 489 Pa. 327, 414 A.2d 91, 98–99 (1980) (holding that where a statute prescribes certain activity, the court need only make a finding that the illegal activity occurred to conclude that there was irreparable injury for purposes of issuing a preliminary injunction); *Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Israel*, 356 Pa. 400, 52 A.2d 317, 321 (1947) (holding that when the Legislature declares certain conduct to be unlawful, it is tantamount to calling it injurious to the public, and to continue such unlawful conduct

(2) <u>Greater Injury Will Result From Refusing The Requested Preliminary</u> <u>Injunction Than Granting It.</u>

A preliminary injunction should be issued if "greater injury will result if preliminary injunctive relief is denied that if such relief is granted." *Anesthesiology Assocs., Inc. v. Allegheny Gen. Hosp.*, 826 A.2d 886, 891 (Pa. Super. Ct. 2003), *appeal denied*, 844 A.2d 550 (2004).

Greater injury will result from refusing Plaintiff the requested preliminary injunction than granting it because Plaintiff's rights, the Republican Party's rights, and the voters' rights, under Pa. Const. Art. I, § 5 and 25 P.S. § 3050, will be infringed upon, as set forth in the Verified Complaint.

(3) <u>Injunctive Relief Is Necessary To Properly Restore The Parties To The Status</u> <u>Quo As It Existed Immediately Prior To Defendants' Wrongful Conduct.</u>

A preliminary injunction is appropriate if "it properly restores the parties to their status as it existed immediately prior to the alleged wrongful conduct." *John G. Bryant Co.*, 369 A.2d at 1167. The status quo to be maintained by a preliminary injunction is the "last actual, peaceable and lawful noncontested status" of the parties. *Valley Forge Historical Soc'y v. Washington Mem'l Chapel*, 426 A.2d 1123, 1129 (Pa. 1981).

The issuance of a preliminary injunction in this matter will return the parties to the status quo, which requires adherence to Pa. Const. Art. I, § 5 and 25 P.S. § 3050.

(4) <u>The Requested Preliminary Injunction Is Reasonably Suited To Abate The</u> <u>Offending Activity.</u>

The proposed preliminary injunction seeks to ensure compliance with 25 P.S. 3050(a.4)(4). If requested injunction is granted, the Court will mandate that the examination and adjudication of each provisional ballot take place in the presence of at least one authorized

constitutes irreparable injury for purposes of seeking injunctive relief); *Commonwealth ex rel. Corbett v. Snyder*, 977 A.2d 28 (Pa.Cmwlth.2009) (affirming issuance of a preliminary injunction and finding that irreparable harm was presumed where there was a credible violation of the state consumer protection statute).

representative from each party so that each candidate and/or party's representative has a free and equal opportunity to exercise their rights under 25 P.S. § 3050, such as by keeping a list of those persons who cast a provisional ballot and, if necessary, to easily challenge a determination of the Philadelphia County Board of Elections with respect to the counting or partial counting of any given ballot. Thus, the injunction is reasonably suited to abate the offending activity.

(5) *The Requested Preliminary Injunction Is In the Public Interest.*

It is in the public interest to enforce the election laws of this Commonwealth.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth herein, and in the Verified Complaint (which is incorporated herein by reference), Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the relief set forth in the Rule to Show Cause that accompanies this Special Injunction, and order such other relief as the Court deems just and appropriate. RIEVEDFROMDEN

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ George Bochetto

BOCHETTO & LENTZ, P.C.

Dated: November 7, 2024

By:

George Bochetto, Esquire PA Attorney ID No. 27783 Matthew L. Minsky, Esquire PA Attorney ID No. 329262 Brett E. Stander, Esquire PA Attorney ID No. 335798 Bochetto & Lentz, P.C. 1524 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19102 Telephone: (215) 735-3900 gbochetto@bochettoandlentz.com mminsky@bochettoandlentz.com bstander@bochettoandlentz.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs