

## COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF BUCKS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

DAVID MCCORMICK

vs.

**BUCKS COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTION** 

NO. 2024-07272

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

State Rule 205.5 requires this form be attached to any document <u>commencing an action</u> in the Bucks County Court of Common Pleas. The information provided herein is used solely as an aid in tracking cases in the court system. This form does not supplement or replace the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law or rules of court.

	4	
Name of Plaintiff/Appellant's A	Attorney: WALTER S. ZIMOLONG II	I, Esq., ID: 89151
	Self-Represented (Pro Se) Liti	gant
Class Action Suit	Yes X No	
MDJ Appeal	Yes X No	Money Damages Requested
Commencement of Action	<u>on</u> .	Amount in Controversy:
Petition		
Case Type and C		
	Board of Elections	
Other:		

## IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF BUCKS COUNTY CIVIL DIVISION

#### DAVID MCCORMICK

2401 Pennsylvania Ave. Philadelphia, PA 19130,

REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE

310 First Street, SE Washington, D.C. 20003,

and

REPUBLICAN PARTY OF PENNSYLVANIA

3501 N. Front Street, Suite 200 Harrisburg, PA 17110,

Petitioners,

v.

BUCKS COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS

55 East Court Street, Doylestown, PA 18901

Respondent.

No. \_\_\_\_\_

**ELECTION APPEAL** 

## PETITION FOR REVIEW IN THE NATURE OF A STATUTORY APPEAL

Petitioners David McCormick, the Republican National Committee, and the Republican Party of Pennsylvania, by and through their undersigned counsel, appeal pursuant to 25 P.S. § 3157 from the decisions of the Bucks County Board of Elections ("Board") on November 14, 2024, to count in the November 5, 2024 General Election 16 provisional ballots missing mandatory voter signatures, 84 provisional ballots missing one or both signatures of the Judge of Elections or minority inspector. Petitioners aver as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. This appeal concerns the decisions of the Board following the November 5, 2024 General Election to count provisional ballots missing a mandatory voter signature, provisional ballots missing one or both signatures of the Judge of Elections or minority inspector.
- 2. The Board erred as a matter of law in all four decisions because each of these categories of ballots is invalid under Pennsylvania law and cannot be counted.
- 3. First, the Board erred in deciding to count 16 provisional ballots that were missing a mandatory signature of the voter on affidavits required by the Election Code. The Election Code states that "[a] provisional ballot shall not be counted if . . . the signature required under" the prescribed voter affidavits "is not signed by the individual." 25 P.S. § 3050(a.4)(5)(ii). The Pennsylvania Supreme Court has made clear that provisional ballots with missing voter signatures cannot be counted. See In re: Canvass of Provisional Ballots in 2024 Primary Election, 322 A.3d 900, 904-09 (Pa. 2024). And the Secretary of the Commonwealth recently instructed county boards that "the Election Code does provide that if the voter's signature is missing from either the affidavit or the provisional ballot envelope the ballot cannot be counted." Email on behalf of Deputy Secretary Jonathan Marks to County Election Officials (Nov. 7, 2024) (attached as Exhibit A).
- 4. The Board thus erred in deciding to count provisional ballots that lack a required signature of a voter.

- 5. Second, the Board erred in deciding to count provisional ballots that were missing a signature of the Judge of Elections or minority inspector (or both). The Election Code directs that individuals signing a provisional ballot "shall" sign an affidavit that is also "signed by the Judge of Elections and minority inspector." 25 P.S. § 3050(a.4)(2). The Pennsylvania Supreme Court has repeatedly held that the word "shall" in the Election Code is "mandatory" such that noncompliance renders a ballot invalid and ineligible to be counted. See In re: Canvass of Provisional Ballots in 2024 Primary Election, 322 A.3d at 907; Ball, 289 A.3d at 28. The Board's decision nonetheless to count provisional ballots lacking the signature of the Judge of Elections or minority inspector thus violates Pennsylvania law.
- 6. The Board's decisions to count ballots the Election Code prohibits also likely violate the U.S. Constitution's Equal Protection Clause as well as the Pennsylvania Constitution. Under the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution, a "State may not, by . . . arbitrary and disparate treatment, value one person's vote over that of another." Bush v. Gore, 531 U.S. 98, 104-05 (2000). And counties cannot use "varying standards to determine what [i]s a legal vote." Id. at 107. Likewise, the Pennsylvania Constitution decrees that "[a]ll laws regulating the holding of elections . . . shall be uniform throughout the State," Pa. Const. art. VII, § 6; accord Pa. Const. art. I, § 5; 25 P.S. § 2642(g). The Board's decision to ignore its lawyers' advice and violate the Election Code—even as other counties follow it—threatens violations of both the U.S. and Pennsylvania Constitutions because ballots will be treated differently depending on the county in which they were cast. This Court can avoid those

violations by reversing.

7. Petitioners are aggrieved by the Board's decisions and hereby appeal from them pursuant to 25 P.S. § 3157(a).

#### JURISDICTION

8. The Court has jurisdiction over this statutory appeal pursuant to 25 P.S. § 3157(a).

#### **PARTIES**

- 9. Petitioner David McCormick is the Republican candidate for Senate. McCormick is currently leading his nearest opponent by approximately 29,338 votes. The United States Senate recognizes McCormick as the Senator-elect from Pennsylvania.
- 10. Petitioner Republican National Committee (RNC) is a national committee of the Republican Party as defined by 52 U.S.C. § 30101(14). The RNC manages the Republican Party's business at the national level, including development and promotion of the Party's national platform and fundraising and election strategies; supports Republican candidates for public office at all levels across the country, including those on the ballot in Pennsylvania; and assists state parties throughout the country, including the Republican Party of Pennsylvania, to educate, mobilize, assist, and turn out voters.
- 11. Petitioner Republican Party of Pennsylvania (RPP) is a major political party, 25 P.S. § 2831(a), and the "State committee" for the Republican Party in Pennsylvania, 25 P.S. § 2834, as well as a federally registered "State Committee" of

the Republican Party as defined by 52 U.S.C. § 30101(15). The RPP on behalf of itself and its members nominates, promotes, and assists Republican candidates seeking election or appointment to federal, state, and local office in Pennsylvania.

12. Respondent the Bucks County Board of Elections is responsible for overseeing the conduct of all elections in Bucks County.

#### DECISIONS OF THE BOARD AT ISSUE

- 13. The Board met in a public meeting on November 14, 2024, to adjudicate challenges to provisional ballots. In a 2-1 vote, the Board orally announced its decision to count 16 provisional ballots with a missing voter signature. See Bucks County Meeting Portal, Board of Elections November 14, 2024 ("Video), https://buckscopa.portal.civicclerk.com/event/506/media, at 16:20-39:50. In a 3-0 decision, the Board voted to count 84 provisional ballots that were missing signatures from the Judge of Elections, minority inspector, or both. Id. at 49:45-59:30. And in a 3-0 decision, the Board voted to count 142 provisional ballots cast by voters who successfully submitted timely mail ballots, but where the mail ballots could not be counted due to missing secrecy envelopes, signatures or dates. Id. at 59:30-1:05:50.
- 14. Petitioners objected to all three decisions before the Board. *Id.* at 26:00-29:40; 50:50-53:35; 1:01:35-1:03:15.
  - 15. Petitioners appeal from those decisions.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. The Board Openly and Knowingly Ignored the Election Code and Pennsylvania Supreme Court Precedent when it Voted to Count Provisional Ballots that Were Missing Voter Signatures.

- 16. The Election Code also imposes mandatory requirements for those who cast provisional ballots. Those who cast provisional ballots must place the provisional ballot within a secrecy envelope, which is in turn placed within a provisional ballot envelope. 25 P.S. § 3050(a.4). The elector also "shall be required to sign an affidavit" with prescribed language. *Id.* § 3050(a.4)(2). The elector also "shall place his signature on the front of the provisional ballot envelope." *Id.* § 3050(a.4)(3). In other words, individuals casting provisional ballots must provide *two* signatures: one for the affidavit and one on the provisional ballot envelope.
- 17. The Election Code expressly states that "[a] provisional ballot shall not be counted if . . . the signature required under clause (3) [the envelope signature] or the affidavit under clause (2) is not signed by the individual." *Id.* § 3050(a.4)(5)(ii). In other words, election officials shall and must reject a provisional ballot unless both required signatures are present—a point the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has already made clear. *See In re: Canvass of Provisional Ballots in 2024 Primary Election*, 322 A.3d at 907.
- 18. Nevertheless, the Board voted to count 16 provisional ballots that were missing affidavit signatures. Video at 16:20-39:50. The Board's legal advisors recommended rejecting those ballots, citing the Election Code's mandatory language and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's decision in *In re: Canvass. Id.* Remarkably, in open defiance of the law, the Board voted 2-1 to accept these ballots. Vice-Chair Marseglia was strident in her defiance bragging that "precedent by a court doesn't matter anymore in this country. And people violate laws anytime they want. For me

if I violate this law, it's because I want a court to pay attention to it." *Id.* at 33:30-34:00. Commissioner DiGirolamo voted no. *Id.* Confusingly, the Board did vote, however, to reject provisional ballots that were missing a signature on the provisional-ballot envelope. *Id.* at 38:15-39:05.

19. Petitioners objected to this decision before the Board. *See id.* at 26:00-29:40.

# B. The Board Improperly Voted to Count Provisional Ballots Missing Signatures From Election Officials.

The Election Code adds several extra security measures for those who 20. cast provisional ballots aside from voter signature requirements. The Election Code states that electors voting a provisional ballot "shall" sign an affidavit that is also "signed by [the] Judge of Elections and minority inspector." *Id.* § 3050(a.4)(1) (emphasis added); see also id. § 3050(a) (The election officer shall . . . sign an affidavit stating that this has been done." This requirement is listed alongside other mandatory components of the affidavit, such as the "Signature of Voter/Elector," the text of the affidavit itself, and "the Reason for Casting the Provisional Ballot." § 3050(a.4)(1). These requirements ensure that election officials actually supervised the casting of provisional ballots; after all, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has explained that lack of such supervision can call the relevant votes into doubt. See Ewing v. Filley, 43 Pa. 384, 388 (Pa. 1862) (explaining that "the judge of the election was absent from duty during the greater part of the day" and that this "is the assertion of very serious official misconduct on the part of the officer, and possibly it vitiates the vote of the division.").

- 21. Nevertheless, the Board voted 3-0 to count 84 provisional ballots that were missing mandatory signatures from election officials. See Video at 49:45-59:30. 49 provisional ballots were missing signatures from the minority inspector, while 35 ballots were signed by neither the Judge of Elections nor the minority inspector. See id.
- 22. Petitioners objected to this decision before the Board. *See id.* at 50:50-53:35.

#### **GROUNDS FOR APPEAL**

- 23. The Board violated the Election Code in two ways.
- 24. First, the Board violated the Election Code by voting to count provisional ballots with unsigned voter affidavits
- 25. The Election Code is unambiguous on this point, as the Board's legal advisors recognized in recommending the rejection of these ballots. See Video at 35:40-36:10; see also id. at 11:00-12:30. The Election Code states that electors "shall be required to sign an affidavit" with prescribed language when casting provisional ballots. 25 P.S. § 3050(a.4)(2). Separately, the Election Code expressly states that "[a] provisional ballot shall not be counted if" the affidavit "is not signed by the individual." Id. § 3050(a.4)(5)(ii).
- 26. The Pennsylvania Supreme Court has recognized that the voter signature requirements for provisional ballots are "clear and unambiguous." *In re: Canvass of Provisional Ballots in 2024 Primary Election*, 322 A.3d at 907. And the Election Code "buttresses [the signature requirements] by directing that a provisional

ballot [that is missing a signature] 'shall not be counted." *Id.* (quoting 25 P.S. § 3050(a.4)(5)(ii)). "The General Assembly has thus spelled out the consequences for an elector's failure to sign" the affidavit. *Id.* 

- 27. The Pennsylvania Supreme Court's decision in *In re Canvass of Provisional Ballots in 2024 Primary Election* governs this case. *Id.* at 7. Although that case dealt with a missing signature on the provisional ballot envelope, there is no principled basis to treat a missing affidavit signature differently. The exact same "shall" language is used to mandate the signatures in the first instance; and identical statutory language states that a provisional ballot "shall not be counted" if either signature is missing. 25 P.S. § 3050(a.4)(5)(ii). Provisional ballots missing either signature must be rejected under the Election Code's unambiguous terms.
- 28. Notably, the Secretary of the Commonwealth recognized this point in an email to the county boards of elections on November 7, 2024. *See* Email ("[T]he Election Code does provide that if the voter's signature is missing from either the affidavit or the provisional ballot envelope the ballot cannot be counted.").
- 29. The Board thus erred in voting to count 16 provisional ballots with missing affidavits signatures.
- 30. Second, the Board wrongfully voted to count provisional ballots that were missing mandatory signatures from election officials.
- 31. The Election Code states that electors voting a provisional ballot "shall" sign an affidavit that is also "signed by [the] Judge of Elections and minority inspector." *Id.* § 3050(a.4)(1) (emphasis added); *see also id.* § 3050(a) ("The election officer

shall . . . sign an affidavit stating that this has been done."). This requirement is listed alongside other mandatory components of the affidavit, such as the "Signature of Voter/Elector," the text of the affidavit itself, and "the Reason for Casting the Provisional Ballot." *Id.* § 3050(a.4)(1).

- 32. If the Judge of Elections and minority inspector do not sign the mandatory affidavit for a provisional ballot, that ballot is incomplete and cannot be counted. As Justice Wecht has explained, if "the Election Code unambiguously require[s] [a] signature" on balloting materials, then such requirements are not "directives" but rather "mandates." In re Canvass of Provisional Ballots in 2024 Primary Election, 322 A.3d 900, 914 (Pa. 2024) (Wecht, J., concurring). After all, a "mandate without consequence is not mandate at all." See Pa. Democratic Party v. Boockvar, 238 A.3d 345, 391 (Pa. 2020) (Wecht, J., concurring).
- 33. While this Court "should not disregard unambiguous statutory requirements for voting because those requirements are purportedly not a 'necessity,'... or because they are mere 'technicalities," In re Canvass, 322 A.3d at 914 (Wecht, J., concurring), the official signature requirement here is anything but. The positions of Judge of Elections and minority inspector are guaranteed by the Pennsylvania Constitution. See Pa. Const. art. VII § 11. And though the exact form has varied, these officials' duty to certify—in writing—that each elector is eligible to vote before their ballot can be cast is almost two hundred years old. See Act of July 2, 1839 § 70, 1839 Pa. Laws 534-35; 25 Pa. Stat. § 3050(a). This duty has persisted over the centuries because it is important. Far from being a pro forma requirement, the officials'

signatures are a vital tool used to secure convictions for election fraud. See, e.g., Commonwealth v. Grear, 76 A.2d 491, 492-93 (Pa. Super. 1950).

- 34. Accordingly, the Board erred in voting to count provisional ballots missing mandatory signatures from election officials.
- 35. Petitioners also note that the Board's decisions to count ballots prohibited by the Election Code will likely result in violations of the U.S. Constitution's Equal Protection Clause and the Pennsylvania Constitution.
- 36. Under the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution, a "State may not, by . . . arbitrary and disparate treatment, value one person's vote over that of another." *Bush v. Gore*, 531 U.S. 98, 104-05 (2000). Accordingly, at least where a "statewide" rule governs, such as in a statewide election, there must be "adequate statewide standards for determining what is a legal vote, and practicable procedures to implement them." *Id.* at 110. And counties cannot "use varying standards to determine what [i]s a legal vote." *Id.* at 107.
- 37. Yet that is precisely what will happen if this Court permits the Board not to enforce the date requirement or other mandatory Election Code provisions. Other county boards will follow the law, which means that the Board's actions would result in "varying standards to determine what [i]s a legal vote" from "county to county" and be improper. See id. at 106-07.
- 38. The Board's refusal to follow the Election Code also violates the Pennsylvania Constitution, which decrees that "[a]ll laws regulating the holding of elections . . . shall be uniform throughout the State," Pa. Const. art. VII, § 6, and the

Election Code, which requires that elections be "uniformly conducted" throughout the Commonwealth. 25 Pa. Stat. § 2642(g). Once again, other counties will follow the law, which means the Board's decisions will result in unlawful unequal treatment of Pennsylvania voters.

WHEREFORE, Petitioners respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter an order reversing the decision of the Bucks County Board of Elections, ordering the Bucks County Board of Elections not to count provisional ballots with any missing voter signature, not to count provisional ballots missing a signature from either the Judge of Elections or minority inspector, and providing such other and further relief as provided by the Pennsylvania Election Code or as this Court deems just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

Valter S. Zimolong
Walter S. Zimolong, Esquire
Attorney I.D. No. 89151
wally@zimolonglaw.com
JAMES J. FITZPATRICK, ESQUIRE
Attorney I.D. No. 320497
james@zimolonglaw.com
ZIMOLONG LLC
353 W. Lancaster Avenue, Suite 300
Wayne, PA 19087
(215) 665-0842

/s/ Joseph A. Cullen, Esq.
Joseph A. Cullen, Esquirw
Attorney I.D. No. 82167
Bucks County Republican Committee
115 N. Broad Street
Doylestown, PA 18901

RELIBIENED FROM DEINOCRACYDOCKET.CO.

and Republican Party of Pennsylvania

Republican National Committee,

Attorneys for Petitioners

13

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH PUBLIC ACCESS POLICY

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy* of the *United Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Respectfully submitted,

Walter S. Zimolong
Walter S. Zimolong
Walter S. Zimolong, Esquire
Attorney I.D. No. 89151
wally@zimolonglaw.com
James J. Fitzpatrick, Esquire
Attorney I.D. No. 320497
james@zimolonglaw.com
Zimolong LLC
353 W. Lancaster Avenue, Suite 300
Wayne, PA 19087
(215) 665-0842

November 15, 2024

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Walter S. Zimolong, hereby certify that on the date set forth below, in accordance with Pa.R.Civ.P. 205.4(g)(1)(ii), the foregoing was electronically filed with the Bucks County Court of Common Pleas electronic filing system website and is available for review on the Bucks County Court of Common Pleas electronic filing system's website, which filing constitutes proper service upon counsel of record.

Service was also completed by email to counsel as follows:

Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq.
County Solicitor
Law Department – County of Bucks
55 E. Court Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor
Doylestown, PA 18901
Amfitzpatrick@buckscounty.org

Matthew E. Hoover Grim Biehn & Thatcher 104 S. 6<sup>th</sup> Street P.O. Box 215 Perkasie, PA 18944 mhoover@grimlaw.com

Mindy Johnson Elias Law Group 250 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20001

Ronni E. Fuchs Troutman Pepper Ronni.fuchs@troutman.com

Dated: November 15, 2024 /s/ Walter S. Zimolong

## **VERIFICATION OF REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE**

I, Ashley Walukevich, Pennsylvania State Director at the Republican National Committee, am authorized to make this verification on behalf of the Republican National Committee. I hereby verify that the factual statements set forth in the foregoing Petition For Review In The Nature Of A Statutory Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge or information and belief.

I understand that verification is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. Cons. Stat. 4904, relating to unsworn falsifications to authority.

Ashley (Walukevich

Pennsylvania State Director

Republican National Committee

Date: 11/13/2024

## **VERIFICATION OF REPUBLICAN PARTY OF PENNSYLVANIA**

I, Angela Alleman, Executive Director at the Republican Party of Pennsylvania, am authorized to make this verification on behalf of the Republican Party of Pennsylvania. I hereby verify that the factual statements set forth in the foregoing Petition For Review In The Nature Of A Statutory Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge or information and belief.

I understand that verification is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. Cons. Stat. 4904, relating to unsworn falsifications to authority.

Angela Alleman

**Executive Director** 

Republican Party of Pennsylvania

Date: \_\_\_\_11/13/24

#### VERIFICATION

I, David McCormick, verify that that the facts contained in the foregoing are true and correct based upon my knowledge, information, and belief. However, while the facts are true and correct based upon my knowledge, information, and belief, the words contained in the foregoing are those of counsel and not mine. I understand that statements herein are made subject to the penalties set forth in 18 Pa. C.S.A. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Out Am Cont David McCormick